

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/646,016	08/22/2003	Louis A. Rhodes	706441US2	8986
24938	7590 03/21/2005		EXAMINER	
DAIMLERCHRYSLER INTELLECTUAL CAPITAL CORPORATION CIMS 483-02-19			GUTMAN, HILARY L	
	800 CHRYSLER DR EAST		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
AUBURN H	AUBURN HILLS, MI 48326-2757			
			DATE MAILED: 03/21/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/646,016	RHODES ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication and	Hilary Gutman	3612				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	rears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vortice to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 Fe	ebruary 2005.	·				
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,5-9,11,12 and 15-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5 and 15-17</u> is/are allowed.	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5 and 15-17</u> is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) <u>2,6,9,11 and 12</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,6,9,11 and 12</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) <u>7,8 and 18-20</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 August 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
The dath of declaration is objected to by the Ex	taminer. Note the attached Office	Action of form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P	Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	6)					
	ction Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 0305				



Application/Control Number: 10/646,016 Page 2

Art Unit: 3612

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 11 is objected to because of the following informalities: on line 5, "the tub" should be "a tub" since it is the first recitation of this term. Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

2. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

- 3. Claim 19 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claim 6.
- 4. Claim 20 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claim 7. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various

claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any

evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out

the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later

invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c)

and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

7. Claims 2, 6, 9, and 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

FR '081.

For claim 2, FR '081 discloses a seat assembly for a motor vehicle having a tub (Fig. 4)

disposed at least partially in a floor of the vehicle, the seat assembly comprising: a seat cushion 2

translatable on at least one track 15 to a position generally above the tub (Fig. 3), the seat

cushion being stowable (or capable of being stowed) in the tub, wherein the at least one track is

disposed generally laterally in the vehicle.

With regard to claims 6 and 19, at least one leg 10 extends between the seat cushion and

the at least one track.

With regard to claim 9, at least one second leg 10 is connected to the seat cushion, the at

least one second leg being disposed inboard in the vehicle from the at least one leg.

For claim 11, FR '081 discloses a seat assembly for a motor vehicle having a floor, the

seat assembly comprising: at least one track 15 disposed generally laterally in the vehicle; and a

seat cushion 2 translatable on the at least one track to a position generally above a tub (Figs. 3-4),

Art Unit: 3612

the seat cushion being stowable in the tub wherein the seat cushion has an underside, and the underside inherently presents a load floor when the seat cushion is stowed in the tub.

With regard to claim 12, the load floor is generally even with the floor of the vehicle.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 8. The indicated allowability of claims 2 and 6-9 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to FR '081. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) are set forth above.
- 9. Claims 5 and 15-17 are allowed.
- 10. Claims 7-8 and 18-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

11. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims 2, 5-9, 11-12, 15-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hilary Gutman whose telephone number is 703-305-0496.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Dayoan can be reached on 703-308-3102. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any response to this action should be mailed to: 14.

Assistant Commissioner for Patents

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9326, (for formal communications intended for entry)

or:

(703) 746-3515, (for informal or draft communications, please clearly label

"PROPOSED" or "DRAFT").

Hilary Gutman March 9, 2005